

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/960,736 09/24/2001		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Hirokazu Yamada	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4240
		9/24/2001		2635-29	
23117	7590	05/07/2003			
NIXON & V		•	EXAMINER		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD . 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				NGUYEN,	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3729	1
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/960,736	YAMADA ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	<u>1arch 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 LLS C & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 6.5.6. § 110(a	ij-(d) or (i).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summary	Part of Paner No. 6					

Application/Control Number: 09/960,736

Art Unit: 3729

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-29, drawn to method for manufacturing a gas sensor, classified in class
 29, subclass 595.
 - II. Claims 30-35, drawn to a gas sensor manufacturing machine, classified in class29, subclass 753.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as one without first and second annular pressing plates.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ŧ

Art Unit: 3729

5. If Applicants elect the invention of Group I, this Group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Page 3

Species A, Claims 1-6, 13-18, and 24-26, draw to figure 1.

Species B, Claims 7-12, 19-23, and 27-29, drawto figure 6.

If Applicants elect the invention of Group II, this Group contains claims directed the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species C, Claims 30-32, draw to figure 4.

Species D, Claims 33-35, draw to figure 9.

i

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

i

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Larry S. Nixon on May 2, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

i

i

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

DN May 2, 2003

> PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700